

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 1156 of 2018**

Smt. Kusum Gaur, Wd/o Late hemraj Gaur, aged about 62 years, R/o Polsaipara, Talabpara (Banspara), P.S. City Kotwali, Durg, District Durg (C.G.)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Department of Revenue and Disaster Management, New Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur (C.G.)
2. Collector, Durg District Durg (C.G.)
3. Nazul Officer, Durg, District – Durg, (C.G.)
4. Nayab Tahsildar (Nazul), District Durg (C.G.)
5. Commissioner Municipal Council, Durg, District Durg (C.G.)

--- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. F. S. Khare, Advocate.
For Respondents	:	Mr. Ashish Surana, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****25/04/18**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that pursuant to the order of this Court dated 22.01.2015, petitioner has made representation to the respondent authorities but it is pending consideration since 05.02.2015 and has not been decided till date.

2. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that this Court, in Contempt Case No. 21 of 2015, *Smt. Kusum Gaur v. State of Chhattisgarh*, has directed the respondent authorities to consider and decide the petitioner's representation as per the order of the Court dated 14.01.2008, whereas petitioner's representation has already been rejected by the

authorities on 13.09.2007 which has also attained finality as it has not been challenged further. He would further submit that the land in dispute has already been allotted to Chhattisgarh Housing Board on 28.06.1980 and the civil suit filed by the petitioner's husband seeking declaration of title over the suit land in Civil Suit No. 56-A / 92 (*Smt. Kalabai v. State of Chhattisgarh*), decided on 20.12.2001 has already been dismissed.

3. In view of the aforesaid submissions, I do not find any good ground to entertain this writ petition. It is accordingly dismissed. The learned State counsel is directed to supply copy of the order passed in Civil Suit No. 56-A/ 92 to the counsel for the petitioner. No cost(s).

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge