

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 1074 of 2018**

1. Jagdish Soni, son of Shalig Ram Soni, aged about 43 years,
2. Yash Soni, son of Jagdish Soni, aged about 13 years, Through : His natural Guardian father Jagdish Soni, son of Shalig Ram Soni, aged about 43 years,

Both R/o. Soni Enterprises, Ramadin Marg, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, School Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
2. Director, Public Education Directorate, Chhattisgarh, Indrawati Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. Collector, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
4. District Education Officer, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
5. J.L.M. Gayatri Vidyapith, C.G. Board Hindi/English Medium, C.B.S.E., Through : The Principal, Office at Keshar Nagar Rajnandgaon, Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Mr. Praveen Dhurandhar, Advocate.
For Respondents No. 1 to 4/State	: Mr. Dilman Rati Minj, Dy. Govt. Advocate. .
For Respondent No. 5	: Mr. B.D. Guru, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****26/04/2018**

(1) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that petitioner No. 2 has been given Transfer Certificate from respondent No. 5- School, which is illegal and bad in law.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 5- School would submit that petitioner No. 1, who is father of petitioner No. 2, is not satisfied with school administration and, therefore, he is making complaint in general against the school and also uploaded the objectionable material against the respondent No. 5- School in the social media and, therefore, the petitioner No. 2 is not entitled for admission in the school.

(3) I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

(4) At this stage, counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner No. 1 will not make any complaint against the respondent No. 5- School in future and he will also not upload the objectionable material against the respondent No. 5 in the social media.

(5) In view of submission made by counsel for petitioners, counsel for the respondent No. 5 would submit that the petitioner No. 2 will be given admission in the School within a period of three days from today.

(6) With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-
(**Sanjay K. Agrawal**)
Judge

D/-