

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 2917 of 2018**

Smt. Kalpana Saytode Earlier Ku. Kalpana Patile, W/o Shri Neelkamal Saytode Aged About 31 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Nagariya Nikay) At Government Girls Higher Secondary School Abhanpur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Department Of Urban Administration And Development Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner - Cum - Director , Department Of Urban Administration And Development Mantralaya Indrawati Bhawan Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Municipal Officer, Municipal Council Abhanpur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Block Education Officer , Abhanpur , District Raipur Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. C. Jayant K. Rao, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. S.P. Kale, Dy. A.G. Mr. Dheeraj Wankhede, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****29/08/2018**

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the action of the Respondents, whereby the increment granted to the Petitioner is being withdrawn on the ground **that she has** not passed B.Ed/D. Ed examination.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the issue with regard to withdrawal/non-grant of increment on the ground of having not passed B.Ed/D.Ed examination was examined by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle v. State of Chhattisgarh (WPS No.4271 of 2017 and batch of petitions, vide order dated 03.11.2017)** and various directions have been issued for affording proper opportunity of hearing and then decide the matter.

3. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the Petitioner's claim would be examined after affording opportunity of hearing in terms of order dated 03.11.2017 passed in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra).
4. Considering the aforesaid submission, this petition is finally disposed of, with a direction to the Respondents for examination of Petitioner's claim in terms of order passed by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra) and take appropriate decision thereon.
5. The writ petition stands accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved