

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 2918 of 2018

1. Ashutosh Pandey S/o Shri Shivprasad Pandey, Aged About 38 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat), Higher Secondary School, Puhputra, Block Lakhanpur, District Surguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
2. Karunesh Kumar Shrivastava S/o Shri Brijesh Chand Shrivastava, Aged About 38 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat), High School, Askala, Block Lakhanpur, District Surguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
3. Smt. Nisha Pandey W/o Shri Yatendra Pandey, Aged About 38 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat), Higher Secondary School, Puhputra, Block Lakhanpur, District Surguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
4. Vandana Samal D/o Agnicharan Samal Aged About 38 Years W/o Santosh Behura, Working As Lecturer (Panchayat), Higher Secondary School, Sewari, Block Rajpur, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh., District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Surguja (Ambikapur) District Surguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh., District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Mr. Rahul Mishra, Advocate.

For State : Mr. Satish Gupta, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order on Board

16/04/2018

1. Learned counsel for the parties would submit that the issue raised in

this petition is squarely covered by order dated 28.11.2017 passed by this Court in WPS No. 2530 of 2017 (Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another) and batch of petitions wherein the circular dated 23.04.2016 has been quashed, petitions allowed and it has been declared that the petitioners therein shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

2. In view of the aforesaid submission and the impugned order and action is based on circular dated 23.04.2016 which has been quashed in the case referred to herein above, the petition also deserves to be allowed with the direction that the petitioner shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by him on a lower post or on the same post.

3. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)

Judge