

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR
WP227 No.276 of 2018

Sushil Kumar Suryavanshi, son of Late Babu Lal Suryavanshi,
 aged about 45 years, R/o Juna Bilaspur, Krishna Nagar, Ward
 No.26, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. M/s. India Bulls Financial Services Limited, L-60, Malhotra Building
 Second Floor, Kanaught Place, New Delhi
2. Ajit Kumar Gupta son of Darash Ram Gupta, R/o. Ward No.60,
 Navadih Chowk, Gupta Cloth Store, P.S. 937, R-3-03/02, Seepat,
 District Bilaspur (CG)

Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr.Rajeev Dubey, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

29.11.2018

1. By the impugned order, the petitioner's objection in execution of
 arbitration award has been rejected by the District Judge, Bilaspur,
 which has been questioned in this writ petition.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the impugned
 order passed by learned District Judge rejecting the petitioner's
 objection is unsustainable and bad in law.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the
 impugned order.
4. The petitioner, who is judgment-debtor/guarantor has raised the
 objection with regard to validity of arbitration award, which has been
 overruled by learned District Judge.
5. The petitioner, if aggrieved, the passing of arbitration award, he is
 at liberty to question the said award on permissible grounds under

Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, as such, there is no illegality in the impugned order passed by learned District Judge rejecting the objection preferred by the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed subject to aforesaid liberty in favour of the petitioner. No cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

B/-