

AFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 2579 of 2018**

1. Yogesh Kumar Dixit S/o Shri Ramesh Chandra Dixit, Aged About 44 Years R/o Mahamai Ward, Badabazar, Post Mungeli, Police Station Mungeli, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh.
2. Brijesh Kumar Dixit S/o Shri Ramesh Chandra Dixit, Aged About 43 Years R/o Mahamai Ward, Badabazar, Post Mungeli, Police Station Mungeli, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh.
3. Hemprasad S/o Shri Badorik Gendle Aged About 33 Years R/o Village Pathargari, Tehsil Pathariya, P.S. Mungeli, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh.
4. Abdul Hai S/o Shri Abdul Vadud Aged About 39 Years R/o Village And Tehsil Mungeli, P.S. Mungeli, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. C.G. State Of Power Distribution Comp. Ltd. Through Managing Director Danganiya, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Executive Director (O And M) CSPDCL, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Karan Tiwari (Contractor) Varsh Enterprises, Q.No. F1/23 Kashridih Road, Road No. 3, Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Mr. KPS Gandhi, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta**Order On Board****01.08.2018**

Heard.

1. The petitioners, by way of this petition, seeking for a direction to the respondents for paying salary at par with the regular employees in the department on the basis of "Equal Pay for Equal Work". The petitioners rely upon the judgment of the Supreme Court in case of

State of Punjab Vs. Jagjit Singh¹ in support of their case.

2. A careful perusal of record shows that the petitioners till date have not made any representations to the employer for redressal of their grievances. Without representation being made to the employer for redressal of their grievances, this petition at this juncture would be premature and the same is declined from being entertained. However, the petitioners are at liberty to approach the respondents by making a detailed representation in light of judgment of the Supreme Court in case of **Jagjit Singh** (supra). In case such a representation is made, it is expected then the authorities concerned shall look into the matter and pass appropriate orders in accordance with rules. The petitioners are at liberty to re-agitate, if situation so warrants.
3. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Sharad Kumar Gupta)
JUDGE

L/-

1 2017 (1) SCC 148