

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 2609 of 2018**

1. Ankur Kumar Bansod S/o Dewanand Bansod Aged About 25 Years R/o Village Nagar Panchayat Tumgaon, Ward Baglapara, P.S. Tumgaon, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.
2. Rekhram Yadav S/o Shri Kishore Yadav Aged About 23 Years R/o Ward No. 11, Nagar Panchayat Tumgaon, Thana And Post Tumgaon, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.
3. Kujuram S/o Kanwal Aged About 27 Years R/o Village Bhathapara Joba, P.S. Tumgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. C.G. State Of Power Distribution Comp. Ltd. Through Managing Director Dangniya, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Executive Director (O & M) CSPDCL, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Nishant Nukkad (Contactor) Devshri Agrotech Pvt. Ltd. Arjuni Chowk, Raipur Road Dhamtari, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. K.P.S. Gandhi, Advocate
-----------------	---	-----------------------------

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****27/03/2018**

1. The petitioners by way of this petition seeks a direction to the respondents for paying salary at par with the regular employees in the department on the basis of "Equal Pay For Equal Work". The petitioners rely upon the judgment of Supreme Court in case State of Punjab & Jagjit Singh and Others, 2017(1) SCC 148.
2. However, a perusal of record shows that the petitioners till date have not made any representation to the employer for redressal of their grievances. Without representation being made to the employer for redressal of grievance, this petition at this juncture would be premature and the same is declined from being entertained. However, the petitioners are at liberty to approach the

respondents by making a detailed representation in the light of judgment of Supreme Court in case of State of Punjab Vs. Jagjit Singh (Supra). In case such a representation is made, it is expected then the authorities concerned shall look into the matter and pass appropriate orders in accordance with rules. The petitioners are at liberty to re-agitate, if situation so warrants.

3. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved