

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 2670 of 2018**

Smt. Rama Pandey W/o Shri Mukesh Kumar Pandey, aged about 31 years, Occupation Service, AG-III, R/o Maruti Vihar, Champa, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Chhattisgarh State Power Generation Company Limited (A Govt. of Chhattisgarh undertaking) through its Managing Director, Vidyut Seva Bhawan Daganiya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Office of the Chief Engineer, (Gen.), through its Chief Engineer 2x500 MW, Marwa- Tendubhatha, Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh
3. Superintending Engineer, 2x500MW, Marwa- Tendubhatha, Shed No. 3, Tendubhatha, Post Sarkho, Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Sourabh Sharma, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****28/03/2018**

The limited grievance of the petitioner raised in the instant writ petition is that though the petitioner has been granted appointment by the respondents under the Chhattisgarh State Rehabilitation Scheme and she had assumed her duty on 26.09.2012, till date the case of the petitioner has not been considered by the respondents for regularization in spite of the petitioner's having all the eligibility requirements.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the order of appointment of the petitioner itself reflects that the case of the petitioner was to be considered for

regularization after two years of satisfactory service which she has already achieved and her annual confidential report does not reflect any adverse entry till date.

3. Given the facts, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents 2 & 3 to consider the case of the petitioner for grant of regularization in accordance with the scheme by virtue of which she was appointed. It is ordered accordingly. Let this exercise be done as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. This Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of her entitlement. The Authorities would be at liberty to decide the claim of the petitioner purely on merits as per the rules and guidelines governing the field.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE