

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 2501 OF 2018**

1. Surendra Kumar Dewangan, S/o Shri Padumnath Dewangan, aged about 45 years, working as Lecturer (Panchayat) and posted at Govt. Higher Secondary School, Chikanipani, Block Pathalgaon, District Jashpur (C.G.)
2. Smt. Karuna Kanti Tirkey (before marriage Ku. Karuna Kanti Kindo), W/o Alekjendar Tirky, aged about 38 years, working as Lecturer (Panchayat), and posted at Govt. High School Khuntapani, Block Pathalgaon, District Jashpur (C.G.)
3. Smt. Basanti Kerketta (before marriage Ku. Basanti Lakda), W/o Sanjay Kumar Kerketta, aged about 38 years, working as Lecturer (Panchayat) and posted at Govt. Higher Secondary School, Sureshpur, Block Pathalgaon, District Jashpur (C.G.)
4. Ku. Sumanlata Ekka, D/o Sundar Sai, aged about 43 years, working as Lecturer (Panchayat) and posted at Govt. Higher Secondary School Kadro, Block Pathalgaon, District Jashpur (C.G.)

... Petitioners**versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
2. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Jashpur, District Jashpur (C.G.)
3. Block Education Officer, Block Pathalgaon, District Jashpur (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate.
For Respondents No. 1 to 3	:	Mr. Syed Majid Ali, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****22/03/2018**

1. The Petitioners are aggrieved by the action of the Respondents, whereby the increment granted to the Petitioners is being withdrawn on the ground that they have not passed B.Ed/D. Ed examination.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that the issue with regard to withdrawal/non-grant of increment on the ground of having not passed B.Ed/D.Ed examination was examined by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle v. State of Chhattisgarh (WPS No.4271 of 2017 and batch of petitions, vide order dated 03-11-2017)** and various directions have been issued for affording proper opportunity of hearing and then decide the matter.

3. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the Petitioners' claim would be examined after affording opportunity of hearing in terms of order dated 03-11-2017 passed in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra).

4. Considering the aforesaid submission, this petition is finally disposed of, with a direction to the Respondents for examination of Petitioners' claim in terms of order passed by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra) and take appropriate decision thereon.

5. The writ petition stands accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

/sharad/