

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 791 of 2018**

Swati Mahila Swa Sahayata Samuh, Development Block Sonakhan, District Baloda Bazar – Bhatapara (C.G.) through its President, Smt. Bhuneshwari, W/o Shri Thakur singh Dadsena, aged about 36 years, R/o Village Rikukala, Post Rikukala, Tahsil Rajadeory, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Women And Child Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner, Department Of Women And Child Development, Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Mandir Hasod, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. District Collector, Collectorate, Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar - Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.
4. District Programme Officer, Women And Child Development Department, Collectorate Compound, District Baloda Bazar - Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.
5. Child Development Project Officer, Integrated Child Development Project, Sonakhan, District Baloda Bazar, Bhatapara (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Abhyuday Singh on behalf of Mr. Amrito Das , Advocate.
For Respondents/State	:	Mr. Arun Sao, Dy. Adv. General.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****22/03/18**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that by virtue of clause 11.3 of the amended instructions dated 05.06.2017, the petitioner is entitled to continue for the period of five years and then only the circular dated 05.06.2017 would apply but on the other hand, by order dated

01.02.2018, District Programme Officer has called for fresh application for supply of ready to eat food for Bar Sector under Sonakhan Project.

2. Be that as it may, the petitioner is at liberty to make representation before the District Programme Officer within a period of seven days from today bringing to his notice Clause 11.3 of the amended instructions dated 05.06.2017, which will be considered and decided within a further period of seven days from the date of receipt of such representation in accordance with law.

3. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge