

NAFR

HIGH COURT of CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.2479 of 2018**

Devendra Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Chandrika Prasad Sahu, Aged About 31 Years R/o Prem Sadan, Bakthavar Chall, Tulsipur, Ward No. 16, Rajnandgaon, Tahsil, Police Station And District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.

----Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Health And Family Welfare, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Police Station And Post Rakhi, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Dean, Office Of Dean, Government Medical College, Rajnandgaon District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.
3. Exam Controller, Office Of Dean, Government Medical College, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.
4. Gulab Kumar Sinha, Unreserved Candidate, Selected For The Post Of Lab Attendant, Roll No. 300179. Formal Address Office Of Dean, Government Medical College, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For petitioner	:	Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate.
For respondent-State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****21.03.2018.**

1. The only issue raised by the petitioner in the instant petition is that he had applied for appointment on the post of Lab Attendant at Govt. Medical College, Rajnandgaon. He had participated in the selection process. The result which has been published shows that the petitioner has scored 50 marks.
2. The petitioner submits that carbon copy which was made available to the candidates when verified with the model answers published in the website of the department, it reflects that the petitioner has scored about 72 marks and that he has been erroneously granted 50 marks

by the department. He further submits that the petitioner has already made a representation/objection in this regard to the respondent No.2-Dean Govt. Medical College, Rajnandgaon, but no further action has been taken by the authority.

3. Given the factual matrix of the case, the respondent No.2 is directed to verify the objection/representation which has been made by the petitioner so far as wrong marks having been granted to the petitioner by scrutinizing his OMR Sheet with the model answers available with the department and thereafter intimate the petitioner in respect of the outcome.
4. Let this exercise be done by the respondent No.2 within a period of 60 days from the date certified copy of this order is made available to him. It is the responsibility of the petitioner to make available a copy of this order to respondent No.2
5. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands allowed and disposed of.

Sd/-
(P.Sam Koshy)
Judge