

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WP No. 1834 of 2003

Indrajeet Tiwari S/o Late Radha Krishna Tiwari, Aged About 61 Years R/o. Amakhokhra, P. O. And Tahsi Katghora, Distt. Korba Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of C.G.And Ors. Through The Secretary Department Of Health And Family Welfare Deptt., D. K. S. Building, Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh
2. Collector, Baikunthpur, District Korea Chhattisgarh, District : Koriya (Baikunthpur), Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Medical Officer, Baikunthpur, Distt. Korea Chhattisgarh, District : Koriya (Baikunthpur), Chhattisgarh
4. Joint Director, Treasuries Accts. And Pension Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
5. Mahalekhakar, Lekha Evam Hakdari Dwitiya- Gwalior Madhya Pradesh, District : Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh
6. Mahalekhakar Lekha Evam Hakdari Fafadih Raipur Chhattisgarh , District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

None for the petitioner/s.

Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

16/01/2018

The petitioner filed this petition in the year 2003 for issuance of direction for payment of leave encashment benefits with Dearness Allowance as also for payment of Provident Fund.

In the return, it has been averred that in so far as leave encashment benefits are concerned, 225 days of earned leave has been allowed for encashment for an amount of Rs.71,243/-. It has also been averred that the amount was offered to the

petitioner at home but the petitioner was not found.

In so far as non-payment of GPF amount is concerned, in the return, it has been stated that petitioner's case was forwarded to the office of the Accountant General as several entries of Part Final were to be settled in the pass book of provident fund. It has also been averred that order dated 14/07/2003 of this Court reflects that learned counsel for the petitioner was granted time to verify regarding receipt of cheques. Thereafter, no affidavit has been filed in the matter from the side of the petitioner.

In these circumstances and at this distance of time, when there is no representation made on behalf of the petitioner, this petition is dismissed though with liberty to revive, in case, any amount still remains unpaid.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge