

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 2293 of 2018**

Dr. Gauri Shankar S/o Late Ram Raj Ram, Aged About 65 Years
Pensioner, R/o HIG I/63, Sector-I, Pt. Deen Dayal Upadhyay Nagar,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University Raipur Chhattisgarh, Through Registrar, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Finance Controller, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Kulapati, Dr. S. K. Pandey, (Professor Of Physics) Aged About 64 Years, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. State Bank Of India, Through The Branch Manager, R. S. U. Branch, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University Campus, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
5. Shri K. K. Chandrakant, Ex- Registrar, Aged About 56 Years. Through Registrar (Custodian Of Records Of Address), Pt. R. S. University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
6. Shri B. C. Biswas, Ex- Finance Controller, Aged About 66 Years, C/o Registrar (Custodian Of Records Of Address), Pt. R. S. University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
7. Shri Atul Vyas S/o Shri Prakash Chandra Vyas, Ex- Branch Manager, Aged About 57 Years, Through The Branch Manager, State Bank Of India, Ravi Shankar University Branch, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. U.N . Awasthi, Senior Advocate Ms. Raksha Awasthi, Advocate
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr. Shiv Sahu, Advocate on behalf of Mr. N.K. Vyas, Advocate and Mr. Neeraj Choubey, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****07/05/2018**

1. Though the matter is listed in default, the senior counsel appearing for the petitioner makes a submission that he is restricting his claim for a direction to the respondents that his representation which is pending consideration before the respondent No.1 be considered at the earliest.
2. The said prayer is not opposed by the counsel for the respondent No.1.

3. In view of the same the present writ petition stands disposed of with a direction that the respondent No.1 shall ensure that the representation of the petitioner, which is pending consideration before them should be considered as early as possible preferably within a period of 60 days.
4. It is expected that the authorities concerned would be passing a speaking order.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved