

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 1820 of 2018**

Smt. Nirmala Sahu W/o Gulabchand Sahu Aged About 26 Years Working As
A.N.M. City Health Facility Center Ward No. 10 And 11 Shankar Nagar
District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Block Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director, Director Of Health Services Indrawati Bhavan Mantralya New Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Medical And Health Officer District Health Officer Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
4. Block Medical Officer, Block Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Rajendra Kumar Patel, Advocate.
For Respondents/ State	:	Mr. Ashish Surana, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****28/02/18**

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would submit that petitioner is entitled for maternity leave for a period of 180 days whereas the respondent No. 3 has granted only 90 days of maternity leave therefore, she may be granted liberty to make representation before the respondent No. 3- Chief Medical And Health Officer, District Health Officer Durg in view of the decision rendered by this Court in the case of **Devshree Bandhe v. Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited and Others** (WPS No. 101/2017).

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. Be that as it may, petitioner is at liberty to make representation before the concerned authority along with copy of the order passed in *Devshree Bandhe* (supra) within ten days from today. If such a representation is preferred by the petitioner then, the concerned authority shall consider and decide the petitioner's representation for grant of further 90 days of maternity leave in light of the decision rendered by this Court in *Devshree Bandhe* (supra) expeditiously preferably within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of representation.
4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge