

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 1725 of 2018

Jitendra Kumar Soni S/o Shri Satya Narayan Soni Aged About 36 Years
Occupation Service, Working As Lecturer (Panchayat- Grade-I, Subject-
Zoology), Government Higher Secondary School Baijnathpur, Vikas
Khand, Bhaiyathan District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh., District : Surajpur,
Chhattisgarh
---- Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of
Panchayat And Rural Development, New Mantralaya, New Raipur,
Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh., District : Surajpur,
Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Surajpur, District Surajpur,
Chhattisgarh., District : Surajpur, Chhattisgarh **---- Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. A. N. Bhakta, Advocate
For State/Respondent	:	Mr. Majid Ali, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

23/02/2018

Heard.

1. Grievance of the petitioner raised in this petition is that upon revocation of suspension, the petitioner is being posted at a place other than where he was working at the time of suspension.
2. It is submitted that this legal issue has been decided by this Court in large number of cases and it has become a settled legal position that upon revocation of suspension, the employee is required to be posted at the same place wherefrom he was suspended.
3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent submits that because of the exigency of service it has been decided that the petitioner

should be posted at some other place therefore, the impugned order has been passed.

4. This Court in the case of Vijay Vajpayee v. State of Chhattisgarh and others, W.P.(S)No.4921/2014, decided on 18-9-2014 has held that once an employee is suspended, upon revocation she is required to be posted at the same place wherefrom he was suspended.

5. Respondent is directed to pass appropriate order posting the petitioner at the place where-from he was suspended.

6. This order, however, shall not come in the way of transfer of the petitioner, in times to come, on administrative exigency.

7. The writ petition stands disposed of.

8. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge