

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 1695 of 2018**

1. Ku. Aparna Gupta D/o Kiran Kumar Gupta, Aged About 28 Years R/o Kanhaiya Provijan Stors Near Radhakrishana Mandir Kawardha, District Kawardha, Chhattisgarh
2. Devraj S/o Kanhaiya Lal, Aged About 35 Years R/o Prabhatola, P O Podhi, Tahsil Bodla, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Urban Administration And Development Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Directorate Of Urban Administration Through The Director, Indrawati Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Nagar Panchayat Pandatarai Through Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat Pandatarai, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat Pandatarai, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Arvind Dubey, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. S. P. Kale, Dy. A. G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

27/08/2018

1. The Petitioners are aggrieved by the action of the Respondents, whereby the increment granted to the Petitioners is being withdrawn on the ground that they have not passed B.Ed/D. Ed examination.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the issue with regard to withdrawal/non-grant of increment on the ground of having not

passed B.Ed/D.Ed examination was examined by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle v. State of Chhattisgarh (WPS No.4271 of 2017 and batch of petitions vide order dated 03.11.2017)** and various directions have been issued for affording proper opportunity of hearing and then decide the matter.

3. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the Petitioners' claim would be examined after affording opportunity of hearing in terms of order dated 03.11.2017 passed in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra).
4. Considering the aforesaid submission, this petition is finally disposed of with a direction to the Respondents for examination of Petitioners' claim in terms of order passed by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra) and to take appropriate decision thereon.
5. The writ petition stands accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
(**P. Sam Koshy**)
Judge