

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 1694 of 2018**

1. Suresh Kumar S/o Shri Kalyan Singh Aged About 29 Years Posted As Cook At Pre Metric Schedule Tribal Boys Hostel, Khongsara, Tahsil Pendra, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
2. Anil Kumar Manjhi S/o Shri Ganesh Prasad Aged About 34 Years Posted As Peon At Pre Metric Schedule Tribal Boys Hostel, Marwahi, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
3. Shiv Kumar Prajapati, S/o Shri Nankuni Prajapati, Aged About 35 Years Posted As Peon At Pre Metric Schedule Caste Boys Hostel, Andi, Tahsil Marwahi, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
4. Ranjan Kumar Rajak S/o Shri Barik Lal Aged About 40 Years Posted As Cook At Pre Metric Schedule Tribal Boys Hostel, Sonkund, P.S. Pendra, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
5. Sita Ram Prajapati S/o Shri Kushal Prasad, Aged About 34 Years Posted As Cook At Pre Metric Schedule Caste Boys Hostel, Pendra, P.S. Pendra, P.S. Pendra, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioners****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Tribal Welfare Development, Mantralay, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner, Department Of Tribal Welfare And Development, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Assistant Commissioner, Department Of Tribal Welfare And Development, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
4. Collector (Tribal Welfare And Development), Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
5. District Coordinator, Tribal Welfare And Development Department, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**


---

|                       |   |                                      |
|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner        | : | Mr. B. P. Banjare, Advocate.         |
| For Respondents/State | : | Mr. Shashank Thakur, Govt. Advocate. |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**

**Order On Board****22/02/18**

Heard.

1. At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that they do not want to press any other relief and confine their prayer to the extent that the respondents may be directed to scrutinize the case of the petitioners individually in accordance with the instructions issued vide memo dated 07.03.2012.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondents submit that the case of all the petitioners will be scrutinized in accordance with the prevailing circular dated 07.03.2012 and if they are found fit and fulfills the criteria laid down in circular dated 07.03.20102, appropriate order thereafter, for their continuance of services, will be passed in accordance with law.
3. In view of the above submission made by learned State counsel, according to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, nothing survives in this petition.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities may decide the same on their own merits.
5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs(s).

Sd/-

**(Sanjay K. Agrawal)**

Judge