

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****MCC No. 151 of 2018**

Madarsha Gulshane Jehra Sanjay Nagar, Kanker, District North Bastar Kanker, Through Its President- Haji Mohammad Ishhaq Rijvi, S/o Late Sattar Bhai, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Behind The Maszid, Mahadev Ward, Kanker, District North Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh, District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through School Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Mantralay, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Director Public Instructions, Indrawati Bhawan, Mantralay, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Executive Officer C.G. Rajya Waqf Board, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. The C.G.Madarsha Board Through Its Secretary, Old Police Head Quarter Parisar, Near Rajbhawan, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. The Collector North Bastar Kanker, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh, District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh
6. The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Kanker, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh, District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh
7. The District Education Officer North Bastar Kanker, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh, District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh
8. The Block Education Officer North Bastar Kanker, District North Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh, District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh
9. The Anjuman Islamiya Committee Kanker Through Its Sadar, Anjuman Islamiya Committee Kanker, District North Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh, District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**


---

|                 |   |                                    |
|-----------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner  | : | Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate. |
| For Respondents | : | Mr. Prateek Sharma, Advocate.      |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal****Order On Board**

**28/02/18**

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would submit that in the order passed by this Hon'ble Court on 29.01.2018 in Writ Petition (C) No. 202 of 2018, due to typographical error in Para 2 and 3, in place of respondent No. 1, it has been wrongly been typed as respondent No.3.
2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. Accordingly, the order is modified and it be read as respondent No. 1 in place of respondent No. 3.
4. The M.C.C. is disposed of accordingly. No cost(s).

Sd/-

**(Sanjay K. Agrawal)**  
Judge