

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 1540 of 2018

1. Dhaniram Vishwas S/o Shri Sudhir Vishwas, Aged About 47 Years, Working As Peon, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
2. Suresh Yadav S/o Dauram Yadav, Aged About 54 Years, Working As Peon, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
3. Ganga Prasad Yadav S/o Late Patiram Yadav, Aged About 51 Years, Working As Peon, Municipal Corporation Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
4. Kaushal Prasad S/o Samaru Ram, Aged About 42 Years, Working As Peon, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Urban Administration And Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
2. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For petitioners	:	Shri Kamlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For respondent No.1/State	:	Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer.
For respondent No.2	:	Shri Alok Tiwari on behalf of Shri Sudeep Agrawal, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam KoshyOrder on Board21/02/2018

1. The grievance of the petitioners is that, the petitioners in the instant case has been regularized for a period of two years vide order dated 15/10/2015 and though two years have already lapse, no order has further been passed by the respondents.

2. The counsel for the petitioners prays that an appropriate directions be given to the respondent No.2 in this regard, considering the representation made by the petitioners seeking for confirmation of regularization.
3. At this juncture, without entering into the merits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the petition is disposed off with a direction to the respondent No.2 to consider the case of the petitioners and to pass a suitable order in accordance with the rules governing the service conditions of the petitioners so far as confirming their regularization.
4. It is expected that the authorities would take a decision expeditiously preferably within a period of 90 days.
5. The Writ Petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE

Sumit