

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 1525 of 2018

1. Ramesh Kumar S/o Johan Ram Yadav, Aged About 51 Years Working As Daily Wager, Kanker Forest Division, District North Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh
2. Manmohan Singh Kashyap S/o Lakhan Singh Kashyap, Aged About 46 Years Working As Daily Wager, Kanker Forest Division, District North Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh
3. Indra Kumar S/o Late Thakur Ram Yadav, Aged About 47 Years Working As Daily Wager, Kanker Forest Division, District North Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Forest Department Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Principal Chief Conservator Of Forest, Aranya Bhawan, Medical College Road, Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Additional Principal Chief Conservator Of Forest, Aranya Bhawan, Medical College Road, Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. The Chief Conservator Of Forest, Forest Circle Kanker, District North Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh
5. The Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division Kanker, District North Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Avinash Chand Sahu, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Majid Ali, Dy. Govt. Advocate

S.B. Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

21/02/2018

1. The petitioners have filed the present writ petition for direction to

respondents to consider their cases for regularization as per circular of the State Government dated 05.03.2008.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that all the petitioners were working with the respondents authorities prior to 31.12.1997 and therefore, their case is squarely covered by the circular dated 05.03.2008.
3. In the light of the aforesaid submission and the contents of the writ petition, this Court is of the opinion that no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the petition pending and that ends of justice would meet if the petition is disposed off at this juncture with a direction to the respondents No.4 & 5 to consider the case of each of the petitioners and subject to verification of their credentials and in accordance with the circular dated 05.03.2008 an appropriate order may be passed. Let this exercise be done within a period of four months from today.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge