

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 1561 of 2018

1. Rupnarayan Sahu S/o Shri Surit Ram Sahu, Aged About 42 Years Working As Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Middle School Partewa, Block Fingeshwar, District Gariyabamd, Chhattisgarh., District : Gariyabandh, Chhattisgarh
2. Digeshwari Dewangan W/o Motiram Dewangan Aged About 33 Years Working As Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Middle School Khudiyadih, District Gariyabamd, Chhattisgarh., District : Gariyabandh, Chhattisgarh
3. Ku. Sunita Dhruw, D/o Shri Gangaram Dhruw, Aged About 34 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. High School Girls Parsada (Khurd), Block Chhura, District Gariyabamd, Chhattisgarh., District : Gariyabandh, Chhattisgarh
4. Smt. Seema Dhruw, W/o Shri Lakhan Lal Dhruw, Aged About 34 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. High School Kochvay, Block And District Gariyabamd, Chhattisgarh., District : Gariyabandh, Chhattisgarh
5. Smt. Yamini Yadav W/o Shri Purushottam Yadav, Aged About 36 Years Working As Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Middle School Baronda, Block Fingeshwar, District Gariyabamd, Chhattisgarh, District : Gariyabandh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh, District : Gariyabandh, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner/s.
Shri S. Majid Ali, Dy.G.A. for the State.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

19/02/2018

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the parties would submit that the issue raised in this petition is

squarely covered by order dated 28.11.2017 passed by this Court in WPS No.2530 of 2017 (Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another) and batch of petitions wherein the circular dated 23.04.2016 has been quashed, petitions allowed and it has been declared that the petitioners therein shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

2. In view of the aforesaid submission and the impugned order and action is based on circular dated 23.04.2016 which has been quashed in the case referred to herein above, the petition also deserves to be allowed with the direction that the petitioners shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

3. No order as to costs.

**Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge**