

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 1420 of 2018

1. Smt. Radhika Netam W/o Harishchandra Singh, Aged About 37 Years Working As Teacher Panchayat, Govt. Girls Middle School, Kosmarra, Block Kurud, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh., District : Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh
2. Smt. Iti Pandey Now Shukla, W/o Gaurav Shukla, Aged About 35 Years Working As Teacher Panchayat Govt. Girls Middle School, Gomchi, Block Dharsiwa, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Smt. Pratibha Sahu, W/o Delendra Kumar Sahu, Aged About 31 Years Working As Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Govt. Primary School, Parsada, Block Fingeshwarpur, District Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh., District : Gariyabandh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner-Cum-Director, Directorate Of Panchayat, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Dhamtari, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh., District : Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Gariyaband, District Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh., District : Gariyabandh, Chhattisgarh
6. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Fingeshwar, District Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh., District : Gariyabandh, Chhattisgarh

....Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Harish Khuntiya, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Dhiraj Wankhede, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

13.02.2018

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the parties would submit that the issue raised in this petition is squarely covered by order dated 28.11.2017 passed by this Court in WPS No.2530 of 2017 (Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another) and batch of petitions wherein the circular dated 23.04.2016 has been quashed, petitions allowed and it has been declared that the petitioners therein shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

2. In view of the aforesaid submission and the impugned order and action is based on circular dated 23.04.2016 which has been quashed in the case referred to herein above, the petition also deserves to be allowed with the direction that the petitioners shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

3. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

**(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge**