

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 1417 of 2018**

1. Balram S/o Shri Samrath Ram, Aged About 39 Years, Presently Working As Guruji In The Shiksha Guarantee School At Parasapani, Gram Panchayat, Maini, Janpad Panchayat Bagicha, District Jashpur (Chhattisgarh), District : Jashpur, Chhattisgarh
2. Smt. Halendri W/o Shri Shital, Aged About 42 Years, Presently Working As Guruji In The Shiksha Guarantee School At Village Korwatoli, Gram Panchayat, Peta, Janpad Panchayat Bagicha, District Jashpur Chhattisgarh., District : Jashpur, Chhattisgarh
3. Smt. Mukhni Bai W/o Shri Ratnu Ram, Aged About 40 Years, Presently Working As Guruji In The Shiksha Guarantee School At Village Lodenapat, Gram Panchayat, Laranga, Janpad Panchayat Bagicha, District Jashpur (Chhattisgarh), District : Jashpur, Chhattisgarh
4. Smt. Sasti Ekka W/o Shri Chandra Ram, Aged About 42 Years, Presently Working As Guruji In The Shiksha Guarantee School At Village Uparbhadu, Gram Panchayat, Loro, Janpad Panchayat Bagicha, District Jashpur (Chhattisgarh), District : Jashpur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Principal Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector, Office Of The Collectorate District Jashpur Chhattisgarh., District : Jashpur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, Jashpur Chhattisgarh., District : Jashpur, Chhattisgarh
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Bagicha, District Jashpur (Chhattisgarh), District : Jashpur, Chhattisgarh
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh), District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Sushil Dubey, Advocate.
For Respondents/ State	:	Mr. Arun Sao, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

13/02/18

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to file a better petition.
2. The prayer appears to be fair and reasonable and is allowed.
3. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty reserved in favour of the petitioner.

SD/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge