

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 1325 of 2018

Vikram Kishor Gupta S/o P.C. Gupta, Aged About 54 Years Working As Sub Engineer, Kelo Project Division, Raigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh., District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya, Police Station Rakhi, Tahsil Aarang, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Engineer In Chief, Water Resources Department, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Enginner, Water Resources Department, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
4. Executive Engineer, Kelo Project, Survey Division Raigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh., District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri CJK Rao, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Manish Nigam, Panel Lawyer

S.B. Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

27/02/2018

With the consent of learned counsel appearing the parties, the matter is being decided finally.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was initially appointed as Sub Engineer on ad hoc basis on 14.10.1988 against the clear vacant post. The services of the petitioner was continued with artificial break of two or three days in service and worked continuously till the date of his regularization. The petitioner was given the benefits of annual increments.
2. The similarly situated employees had approached the State Administrative

Tribunal (for short "SAT") in Original Application No.1979/91 (Satish Kumar Mandloi vs. State of MP and another) praying for similar relief as sought for in this petition. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this case may also be disposed off in the same terms and conditions.

3. Learned counsel for the State in the light of the order of the SAT as aforestated submits that after verifying the facts of the case, petitioner's case shall be considered.
4. In view of the foregoing and categorical statement made by the learned counsel appearing for the State, this petition is allowed in the same terms i.e. if the Committee finds the petitioner suitable for the post in all respect, he shall be regularized on the post held by him and shall also pay him salary on regular scale. He shall be entitled to salary for the dates on break in service. His seniority shall be counted from the date of his initial appointment. No costs.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge