

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 1448 of 2018**

Hatiya S/o Panchu, aged about 56 years, R/o Ward No. 39, Dhobi Center, Doman Hill, Chirimiri, P.O. Sonawani, Tahsil Khadgawan and District Korea, Chhattisgarh.

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. South Eastern Coalfields Limited through its Chairman cum Managing Director, SECL, Head Quarters, Seepath Road, Bilaspur, Tahsil and Civil and Revenue District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director (Personnel), South Eastern Coalfields Limited, SECL Head Quarters, Seepath Road, Bilaspur, Tahsil and Civil Revenue District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Sub Area Manager, Chirimiri, NCPH Sub Area of the Chirimiri Area of SECL, P.O. Haldi Bari, Tahsil Khadgawan, Civil and Revenue District Korea, Chhattisgarh.

**---- Respondents**

---

|                 |   |                                  |
|-----------------|---|----------------------------------|
| For Petitioner  | : | Mr. Rajkamal Singh, Advocate.    |
| For Respondents | : | Mr. Shailendra Shukla, Advocate. |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**

**Order On Board**

**15/02/18**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that identical writ petition has been disposed of by this Court in WPS No. 6916 of 2017 *Babu v. South Eastern Coal Fields Limited and Others*, decided on 11.12.2017, in which this Court has directed as under :-

“It goes without saying that the petitioner has any grievance against detailed charge-sheet, if any, issued in future, it will always be open for the petitioner to challenge the same in accordance with law.

In that view of the matter, the petition is disposed off placing on record the statement of the respondent -SECL with the clear direction that if the respondent-SECL intend to

proceed in departmental enquiry against the petitioner, then shall issue a proper charge-sheet along with the list of document and witnesses.”

2. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of SECL has no objection to the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. With the consent of parties, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of order passed by this Court in *Babu v. South Eastern Coalfields Limited* (supra). No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-  
**(Sanjay K. Agrawal)**  
Judge