

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 1258 of 2018**

1. Smt. Chandrakanta W/o Let Ishukumar Pisda, Aged About 27 Years Occupation Assistant Sub Inspector (A) Under The Office Of Respondent No. 3, Posted As Superintendent Of Police Balod, District Balod Chhattisgarh.
2. Ku. Bharti Thakur S/o Let Shri Santosh Thakur Aged About 24 Years Occupation Assistant Sub Inspector (A) Under The Office Of Respondent No. 3 Posted As Superintendent Of Police Balod, District Balod, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Home Affairs, Police Department, Mahanadi Mantralaya New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Secretary, Finance, Mahanadi Bhawan New Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Director General Of Police Home Guards, (State Disaster Resolve Force) SDRF, Head Quarter, Sector 19, New Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Tarun Dansena, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Majid Ali, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****08/02/2018**

Heard on admission.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the husband of the petitioner No.1 was working as Constable and the father of the petitioner No.2 was working as Assistant Sub Inspector (A), who died in harness. The grievance of the petitioners is that despite order passed by this Court in WPS No.4563/2006 and the order passed by Division Bench in W.A.No.426/2011 against which, the Supreme Court has dismissed SLP (Civil) No.13274/2013, the benefits made admissible to the similarly placed persons vide order dated 03.12.2013 issued by the Home Department, has not been extended

to the petitioners. He would submit that the Director General of Police has already issued a communication on 28.09.2013 for extending similar benefits to all the similarly placed persons, but yet necessary orders have not been passed.

2. Learned State counsel would submit that in the event, the petitioners submit fresh representation before the competent authority, their cases shall be scrutinized and if after due verification, it is found that their cases are similar, they shall be entitled to all such benefits available to them in accordance with law.
3. In view of above, this petition is finally disposed off with a direction that in the event, the petitioners submit fresh representation before the competent authority claiming similar treatment as has been extended to the previous set of petitioners in whose favour Annexure P-1 has been passed, within a period of one month from today, the competent authority shall scrutinize their cases and if on verification, it is found that the petitioners are identically placed, necessary orders in this regard be passed in accordance with law within a further period of two months.
4. If any adverse order is passed against the petitioners, they would be at liberty to move afresh before this Court.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge