

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**CONT No. 114 of 2018**

N.R. Sharma S/o Late Shri Manoharlal Sharma Aged About 54 Years, R/o B-7, M/s Ind Synergy Limited, Residential Colony, Kotmar, Raigarh, District- Raigarh, Chhattisgarh., District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Ankit Anand, Aged About 50 Years, Managing Director Chhattisgarh, State Power Distribution Company Limited, Daganiya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.(Contemnor).
2. C. S. Singh, Aged About 45 Years, Superintending Engineer (Raigarh Circle) Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
3. R. K. Rao, Aged About 43 Years, Assistant Engineer (Rural), Chhattisgarh, State Power Distribution Company Limited Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
4. Laxmikant Patel, Aged About 43 Years, Junior Engineer Raigarh (Rural), Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents**And****CONT No. 120 of 2018**

Devendra Bohra S/o Shri Trilok Singh Aged About 29 Years R/o B-21, M/s Ind Synergy Limited, Residential Colony, Kotmar, Raigarh District Raigarh Chhattisgarh, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Ankit Anand, Aged About 50 Years, Managing Director Chhattisgarh, State Power Distribution Company Limited, Daganiya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.(Contemnor).
2. C. S. Singh, Aged About 45 Years, Superintending Engineer (Raigarh Circle) Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
3. R. K. Rao, Aged About 43 Years, Assistant Engineer (Rural), Chhattisgarh, State Power Distribution Company Limited Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

4. Laxmikant Patel, Aged About 43 Years, Junior Engineer Raigarh (Rural), Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Ashish Surana, Advocate.
For Respondents/ State	:	Shri Arun Sao, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

26/03/2018

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this contempt petitions with liberty to file a fresh after disposal of the writ appeal.
2. The prayer appears to be fair and reasonable and is allowed.
3. Accordingly, the contempt petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty reserved in favour of the petitioners.

SD/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge