

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WA No.132 of 2018

- Smt. Hans Kumari W/o Shri Teejram Yadav Aged About 30 Years R/o Village Lankahuda , Gram Panchayat Sohagpur Janpad Panchayat Bilaigarh District Raipur Chhattisgarh. (Petitioner), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. Santoshi Manikpuri Wo Anand Das Panka , R/o Village Lankahuda , Gram Panchayat Sohagpur , Janpad Panchayat Bilalgarh District Raipur Chhattisgarh. (Respondent No. 1), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Devki Bai W/o , Bathuwa Das Panka , R/o Village Lankahuda , Gram Panchayat Sohagpur , Janpad Panchayat Bilalgarh District Raipur Chhattisgarh. (Respondent No. 2), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Sant Bai W/o , Batu Das Panka R/o Village Lankahuda , Gram Panchayat Sohagpur , Janpad Panchayat Bilalgarh District Raipur Chhattisgarh. (Respondent No.3), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Dilemati , W/o Haricharanmarar , R/o Village Lankahuda , Gram Panchayat Sohagpur , Janpad Panchayat Bilalgarh District Raipur Chhattisgarh. (Respondent No.4), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Executive Officer , Janpad Panchayat Bilaigarh District Raipur Chhattisgarh. (Respondent No. 5), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
6. Project Officer , Ekikrit Women And Child Development Project Bilalgarh District Raipur Chhattisgarh. (Respondent No. 6), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
7. District Planning Officer Women And Child Development Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh. (Respondent No. 7), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Ms. Shivali Dubey, Advocate
For Respondent No.1	:	Smt. Savita Tiwari, Advocate

**D.B. : Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava &
Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta**

Order On Board

19/03/2018

Heard.

2. Though number of grounds have been urged in the appeal to assail the

correctness and validity of the order dated 12-12-2017 passed by the learned Single Judge in WP(227)No.7108/2010, in so far as the appeal filed by the present appellant Smt. Hans Kumari is concerned, in the light of authoritative pronouncement of the Full Bench of this Court in the case of ***High Court Bar Association Through its Secretary, Chhattisgarh v. State of Chhattisgarh and others, ILR 2016 Chhattisgarh 1***, we are of the considered view that the statutory remedy of writ appeal is not available against the order passed by the learned Single Judge in exercise of supervisory jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Therefore, for that reason only, we are inclined to dismiss the appeal as not maintainable.

3. In the result, the writ appeal is dismissed as not maintainable.

SD/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

SD/-
(Sharad Kumar Gupta)
Judge