

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 123 of 2018

Smt. Sarita Dubey, aged about 49 years, W/o Shri Sudhi Dubey R/o Sahid Hamid Nagar, Jalgrih Marg, Tikrapara, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Avas Avam Paryavaran Vikas Vibhag, Capital Complex, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Municipal Corporation, Raipur through the Commissioner, White House, Gandhi Chowk, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Collector, Raipur District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

WPC No. 125 of 2018

Sujoy Thomas, aged about 40 years, S/o Late Shri P.T. Thomas R/o New Shanti Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Avas Avam Paryavaran Vikas Vibhag, Capital Complex, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Municipal Corporation, Raipur through the Commissioner, White House, Gandhi Chowk, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Collector, Raipur District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

And

WPC No. 126 of 2018

P.T. Joseph, aged about 73 years, S/o Late Shri P.T. Thomas R/o C-22, Sector- 3, Devendra Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Avas Avam Paryavaran Vikas Vibhag, Capital Complex, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

2. Municipal Corporation, Raipur through the Commissioner, White House, Gandhi Chowk, Raipur (C.G.)

3. Collector, Raipur District Raipur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Sudeep Johri, Advocate.
For Respondents/ State	:	Mr. Arun Sao, Dy. Advocate General.
For Respondent No. 2	:	Mr. Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

17/01/2018

Heard.

1. Office objection is over-ruled.
2. Learned counsel for the parties would submit that these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of order passed in Writ Petition (C) No. 3308/2017, *Tanwant Singh Chhabda vs. State of Chhattisgarh* and Writ Petition No. 3329/2017, *Mahesh Kumar Sahu vs. State of Chhattisgarh and Ors.* dated 12.12.2017.
2. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The prayer appears to be fair and reasonable and is allowed.
4. Accordingly, these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of order passed in *Tanwant Singh Chhabda vs. State of Chhattisgarh* (supra) and *Mahesh Kumar Sahu vs. State of Chhattisgarh and Ors.*(supra). Copy of order dated 12.12.2017 of both writ petitions be made part of this order.
5. However, the disposal of the writ petitions will not prevent the parties from entering into settlement with regard to the dispute.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge