

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 7193 of 2017

- Ajit Singh Patel S/o Late Subhash Singh Patel, Aged About 48 Years Working As Executive Engineer Civil , Chhattisgarh Housing Board, Head Office At Purana Mukhyalaya Bhawan, Shankar Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Awas Evam Paryavaran Vibhag, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner, Chhattisgarh Housing Board, Head Office At Paryawas Bhawan, North Block, Sector-19, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Administrative Officer, Chhattisgarh Housing Board, Head Office At Paryawas Bhawan, North Block, Sector-19, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. H. K. Verma, Additional Commissioner In-Charge , Chhattisgarh Housing Board, Head Office At Paryawas Bhawan, North Block, Sector-19, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. S. K. Bhagat, Dy. Commissioner, Chhattisgarh Housing Board, Head Office At Paryawas Bhawan, North Block, Sector-19, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
6. B. B. Singh, Deputy Commissioner Civil Chhattisgarh Housing Board, Head Office At Paryawas Bhawan, North Block, Sector-19, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
7. K. P. Sangule, Deputy Commissioner Civil Chhattisgarh Housing Board, Head Office At Paryawas Bhawan, North Block, Sector-19, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Ms. Nausheen Afreen Ali, Advocate.

For Respondent/State : Shri Ramakant Mishra, AG for the State.

For Respondent No. 4 & 5 : Shri Shishir Dixit, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Judgment on Board

20.04.2018

1. Heard.
2. In this petition when learned Counsel for the Respondent argued that earlier the petition filed by the Petitioner against Respondent No. 4 & 5 was dismissed without any liberty, therefore, this petition against Respondent No. 4 & 5 is not maintainable and this fact was not disclosed in the present petition, learned counsel for the Petitioner seeks to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to file such petition as may be maintainable under the law.
3. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty. However, this liberty will not be in respect of the cause of action leading to the earlier order dated 16-11-2017 passed in WPS 6091/2017.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
JUDGE