

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 1306 of 2018

Sundarlal Jangde S/o Late Dhaniram Jangde Aged About 62 Years (Retired Lecturer) R/o Village Pikripali Post Office Madhaibhata Tahsil Bilaigarh District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh, District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary School Education Department Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. District Education Officer, Balodabazar District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh, District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh
3. Principal, Government Higher Secondary School Sarsiwa Block, Block Bilaigarh District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh, District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh
4. Joint Director, Treasury Accounts Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

Shri K.P. Sahu, counsel for the petitioner/s.
Shri Ashish Surana, Panel Lawyer for the State.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

09/02/2018

By this petition, the petitioner seeks a direction to the respondents to pay two advance increments on passing B.Ed. / BTI examination on his own cost, after seeking permission from the department.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present case is no longer *res integra* as the same has been considered and decided by the Supreme Court in the case of **Asha Saxena v. State of M.P. & Ors, 2009 (III) MPJR (SC) 59** as well as by this Court in **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj v. Municipal Corporation, Durg and Anr., 2006 (II) MPJR – CG 96** and **Gopesh**

Kumar Verma v. The State Government of Chhattisgarh and anr. in WPS No.4310/2005.

3. Learned counsel further submits that the instant petition is squarely covered by the decision as aforestated. Thus, the petitioner may be permitted to make a representation to the respondents to decide his case for grant of two increments in the light of aforestated decisions, subject to verification of the facts as to whether the petitioner has acquired B.Ed./ BTI Certificate on his own expenses after entering into service.

4. Learned State counsel submits that in the event, the petitioner makes representation, the same will be considered and decided, in accordance with law in the light of decisions of the Supreme Court in **Asha Saxena (supra)** as well as this Court in **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj (supra)** and **Gopesh Kumar Verma (supra)**, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.

5. In view of foregoing, according to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, nothing survives in this petition for adjudication.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed off.

**Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge**