

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 1059 of 2018**

Rajesh Kumar Pandey S/o Late Shri Sampath Lal Pandey, aged about 58 years, presently working as Sub Engineer, Municipal Corporation, Korba, District Korba, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. The State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Capital Complex, New Raipur, P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Joint Director, Regional Office, Department of Urban Administration and Development, Bilaspur Division, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
3. Municipal Corporation, Korba through Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Korba, District Korba, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Sudeep Agrawal, Advocate
 For Respondent/State: Shri Lav Sharma, Panel Lawyer
 For Respondent no.3 : Shri Dhiraj Kumar Wankhede, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****22/03/2018**

The respondents have filed their return along with certain documents wherein it reflects that the case of the petitioner, on an earlier occasion in a DPC held on 11.12.2017, was considered and the petitioner was found suitable for promotion. Likewise, there is another document dated 21.02.2018 Annexure R-3/3 issued by the Deputy Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Development, State of Chhattisgarh wherein they have also concurred for holding a fresh

review DPC in the light of DPC held on 30.05.2008 so far as the petitioner is concerned.

2. Given the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present writ petition can be disposed of with a direction to respondent no.3 to ensure that a review DPC is conducted at the earliest preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order and an appropriate suitable order be passed forthwith thereafter. It is further directed that since the State Government has already passed an order on 21.02.2018 for holding a review DPC in respect of the petitioner, it is expected that respondent no.1 shall also, on the recommendation made by respondent no.3, take prompt action within a further period of 45 days from the date of receipt of the recommendation of respondent no.3.

3. The Writ petition thus stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE**