

**NAFR**

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**Writ Petition (S) No.904 of 2018**

1. A.K. Chatterjee S/o Late D N Chatterjee Aged About 58 Years Presently Working As Sub-Divisional Officer, Pendra, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
2. Sheikh Anwar S/o Sheikh Rahemu Aged About 59 Years Deputy Conservator Of Forest, Presently Working As Sub-Divisional Officer, Sukma, Ps Sukma, District Dantewada (Chhattisgarh), District : Dantewada, Chhattisgarh
3. R B Patel S/o Shri R N Patel Aged About 61 Years Asst. Conservator Of Forests Wadraf Nagar, District Balrampur (Chhattisgarh), District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
4. Arun Kumar Jha S/o Late R D Jha Aged About 64 Years R/o. C1/a, Anupam Nagar, Raipur Ps Civil Lines, P O Shankar Nagar, Raipur (Chhattisgarh), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Vasant Kumar Nair S/o Late Narayanan Nair Aged About 62 Years R/o. Forest Colony, Sukma, Ps Sukma, District Dantewada (Chhattisgarh), District : Dantewada, Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioners**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Forest, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur (Chhattisgarh), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Principal Secretary, Department Of Forest, State Of Chhattisgarh, Naya Raipur (Chhattisgarh), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Principal Chief Conservator Of Forest Aranya Bhawan, Medical College, Raipur (Chhattisgarh), District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

For Petitioners : Mr. N. Naha Roy, Advocate  
For State : Mr. Dilman Rati Minj, Deputy Govt. Advocate

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**  
**Order On Board**

**29/01/2018**

**(1)** Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would

submit that in accordance with para 36 & 37 of judgment of Supreme Court in the matter of **S. Panneer Selvam and Others Vs. State of Tamil Nadu and Others** and other connected matters reported in **(2015) 10 SCC 292**, the State Government has constituted the committee, but the said committee has not considered the case of the petitioner.

(2) Be that as it may, the petitioner is at liberty to make a representation along with relevant judgment of the Supreme Court, if any, in support of their case to the competent authority. If such a representation is filed by the petitioners before the competent authority, in that event the competent authority shall consider and decide the representation in accordance with law expeditiously within a period of four weeks from the date of filing of such representation.

(3) With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

**(Sanjay K. Agrawal)**  
Judge

L/-