

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (PIL) No. 70 of 2013**

1. Chhattisgarh Mool Anusuchit Jati Adhikari/Karmachari Sangh A Society registered under the Chhattisgarh Societies Registration Act, 1973 having Registration No. Chhattisgarh State 1849 and having its Head Office At House No. EWS 248, New Rajendra Nagar, Sector 7, Katora Talab, Post Ravi Gram, Police Station Civil Lines, Raipur, Distt. Raipur, C.G. Through Shri P.L. Patle. S/o Sunderlal Patle, Aged About 55 Yrs. President, C.G. Mool Anusuchit Jati Adhikari/Karmachari Sangh, R/o House No. EWS 248, New Rajendra Nagar, Sector 7, Katora Talab, Post Ravi Gram, Police Station Civil Lines, Raipur, C.G., Chhattisgarh
2. Shri R.L. Bhatt, S/o Shri Mauji Ram, Aged About 64 Years Member, C.G. Mool Anusuchit Jati Adhikari/Karmachari Sangh, R/o D/111, Guru Ghashidas Colony, New Rajendra Nagar, Distt. Raipur C.G., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Union Of India Through The Secretary, To The Govt. of India Ministry of Social Justice And Empowerment A-Wing, Shastri Bhavan, At New Delhi

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	: Shri Rakesh Dubey, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	: Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Additional Advocate General.
For Respondent No.2	: Shri B. Gopa Kumar, Assistant Solicitor General.

Hon'ble Shri Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Pritinker Diwaker, Judge

Order on Board**09/07/2018****Per Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice**

1. Heard counsel for the parties.
2. Read the materials brought on record to the challenge which has been made to Annexure P/1 to Annexure P/4. Since Annexure P/4 is the Rule which is

also a subject matter for challenge made by the Petitioners who are said to be a Registered Society, this Public Interest Litigation is dismissed. If any individual is aggrieved by the operation of the impugned Rule, he has freedom to approach the Court individually. The collective challenge of such kind gives the Court a feeling that there seems to be some political agenda behind such challenge rather than genuine concern with regard to the interest group for whose cause the Petitioners are trying to fight.

3. The petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Ajay Kumar Tripathi)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
(Pritinker Diwaker)
JUDGE