

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 4965 of 2012**

Mohan Lal Kashyap C/o S. L. Kurmi, Kapil Nagar near Sarkanda, P.S.
Sarkanda, Bilaspur (CG)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Chairman cum Managing Director, SECL, Sipat Road, Bilaspur, PS
Sarkanda, Bilaspur (CG)
2. Regional Director, CMPDIL, RI-V, Sipat Road, P.S. Sarkanda, Bilaspur,
CG
3. Chairman cum Managing Director, Mahanadi Coalfields Ltd., Jagruti
Vihar, Burla, P.S. Burla, Sambalpur, Odisha

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Anurag Dayal Shrivastava,
Advocate		
For Respondents	:	Shri Sudhir Kumar Bajpai, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****24/04/2018**

Grievance of the petitioner by way of the present writ petition is that he has not been paid salary in between 01.03.2010 to 10.06.2010. The second grievance of the petitioner is that two of the medical bills regarding treatment of his wife are pending consideration before the respondents and till date, the same has not been processed and the petitioner is being made to run from pillar to post for release of the said money. The petitioner has made repeated representations in this regard to the respondents but the same have not been decided by the respondents till date.

2. So far as the non granting of salary from 01.03.2010 to 10.06.2010 is concerned, there does not appear to be any formal order passed by the respondents whereby it has been held that the petitioner would not be entitled for any salary during the said period. So far as the medical claim is concerned, this Court does not find any order of the respondents whereby they have specifically held that the petitioner would not be entitled for reimbursement of the amount claimed under the medical reimbursement scheme.

3. Given the aforesaid factual matrix, in addition to whatever representation the petitioner has made to the respondents, let the petitioner make a detail representation afresh to respondent no.1 who in turn shall personally look into the grievance of the petitioner and decide the representation objectively within a period of 90 days from the date the petitioner makes a fresh representation to respondent no.1 in this regard.

4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE**