

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 88 of 2018**

Nalanda Public School, Kurud Jamul Tahsil & District Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Revenue Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Collector, Collectorate Office, District Durg Chhattisgarh.
3. The Municipal Corporation Bhilai, Through Its Commissioner District Durg Chhattisgarh.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Revenue District Durg Chhattisgarh.
5. The Tahsildar Kurud, District Durg Chhattisgarh.

-- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Rudra Nath Mukherjee, Advocate.
For Respondents/State	:	Mr. Dilman Rathi Minj, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****12/01/18**

1. Being aggrieved by the impugned order of the Tahsildar passed on 14.12.2017 for removing the encroachment, this writ petition has been preferred by the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would submit that the impugned order is unsustainable and bad in law as the petitioner's land bearing Khasra No. 1434/1, 1434/3 and 1435/2 is not the disputed land and, therefore, the impugned order be set aside.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Be that as it may, since the impugned order is appealable the petitioner is at liberty to prefer an appeal against the impugned order before the competent authority and if such an appeal is preferred by the petitioner then, the Appellate Authority shall consider and decide the same expeditiously.

5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

SD/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge