

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 4958 of 2011**

Hemant Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Prabhu Ram Sahu, aged about 26 years, resident of Diyabati, PO Darra, Tehsil-Gurur, District Durg, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Panchayat Department, DKS Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Maakdi, District-Bastar, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Bastar, Jagdalpur (CG)
4. National Council for Teachers Education (N.C.T.E) through the Secretary, Wing-II, Hans Bhawan-I, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi
5. The Regional Director, N.C.T.E., Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shyamla Hills, Bhopal (MP)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri P. R. Patankar, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Ashutosh Pandey, Panel Lawyer
For Respondents 4 & 5	:	Shri Bhaskar Payashi, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****30/07/2018**

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the refusal to grant appointment to the petitioner as a Shiksha Karmi Grade-III on the ground that his qualification of D.Ed. was not from a recognized university.

2. On the previous date of hearing this Court had directed the counsel appearing for NCTE to seek instruction and apprise the Court whether the

institution from where the petitioner has done his course is a recognized institution or not.

3. Counsel appearing for NCTE produced before this Court an order of appeal decided by NCTE on 10.06.2008 in respect of the same institution from where the petitioner has obtained qualification. That in the said order, it has been specifically held that the said institution is not a recognized institution.

4. Once when respondents 4 & 5 which is the main body to decide whether the institution from where the petitioner has undertaken his education qualification is a recognized institution or not, have taken a stand of it not being recognized institution, this Court does not find any strong case made out by the petitioner calling for an interference with the action on the part of the respondents in refusal to consider the case of the petitioner for grant of appointment on the ground of his qualification not being from a recognized institution.

5. The Writ petition thus being devoid of merit deserves to be and is accordingly dismissed.

**Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE**