

S/L No.27
12.08.2022
Ct-29
(AD)

CPAN 885 of 2021
in
WPA 23683 of 2018

Buddhadeb Santra & Anr.
vs.
Dr. P. Deepap Priya

Mr. Panchanan Hajra

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Susovan Sengupta
Mr. Manas Kumar Sadhu

... for the alleged contemnor.

Petitioners complain of the violation of the order dated December 7, 2018.

By such order, respondent no.3 in the writ petition was directed to consider and decide the representation made by the petitioners in accordance with law.

Alleged Contemnor is represented.

On behalf of the alleged contemnor, an order dated April 9, 2019 is relied upon.

Apparently, the authorities acted in terms of the order dated December 7, 2018.

It is contended on behalf of the petitioners that the order dated April 9, 2019 is not in accordance with the order dated December 7, 2018 passed by the High Court.

In view of the alleged contemnor passing the order dated April 9, 2019, it cannot be said that the alleged contemnor acted in wilful violation of the order of the High Court.

The contentions with regard to the order dated April 9, 2019 are kept open.

CPAN 885 of 2021 is disposed of accordingly.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)