

22.07.2022
Item no. 6
Aloke
Ct. no.29

WPCRC 66 (W) of 2019
in
WPA 11308 of 2010
Sri Buddha Prasad Pal
Vs.
Sri Goutam Chattopadhyay & Ors.

Mr. Shyamal Kr. Das
Ms. Smita Pal
Ms. Krishna Yadav
...for the petitioner

Mr. Soumya Majumdar
Ms. Sanjukta Dutta
Mr. Rishav Maity
... .. for the alleged contemnor
nos. 2 and 3

Petitioner complains of violation of the
order dated September 3, 2018.

The contempt rule was issued.

It is submitted in Court that subsequent
to the Appeal Court's order certain payments
were made. Largely pensionary benefits are yet
to be disbursed.

Learned Advocate appearing for the
alleged contemnors submits that the petitioner
was engaged in a non-pensionary service.
Therefore, according to him, no pension is
payable by the company.

In such circumstances, it cannot be said that the alleged contemnors are in willful violation of the order dated September 3, 2018.

The petitioner is at liberty to avail of his remedy before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

In such circumstances, Rule issued is discharged.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)