

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.21035 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -305 Year- 2017 Thana -PUPRI District- SITAMARHI

=====

1. Geeta Devi @ Gita Devi Wife of Sikandar Ram
2. Sikandar Ram Son of Laksham Ram Both of Resident of Village-
Fulwariya, Police Station-Pupri, District-Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s Mr. Dinesh Singh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 19-06-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor of the State.

The petitioners happens to be the mother-in-law and
father-in-law, are apprehending their arrest in connection with
Pupri P. S. Case No. 305 of 2017, registered for offences
punishable under Sections 304 (B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The accusation against the petitioners is that they had
administered poison to the daughter of the informant due to non
fulfillment of dowry demand.

Submission of the learned counsel for the
petitioners is that they have been falsely implicated in this case. It
would appear from the F.I.R. that the daughter of the informant
died during the period of treatment. It is also submitted that the
petitioners have no criminal antecedents.



Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer of bail.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, and taking into consideration that injuries are simple in nature, the prayer of Anticipatory bail of petitioners are allowed and they in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of six weeks from the date of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Pupri P. S. Case No. 305 of 2017 to the satisfaction of In charge, Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate (Pupri), Sitmarhi, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. with other that bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned and petitioners shall cooperate in the investigation and shall be present before the police as and when required, otherwise, prosecution is at liberty to move for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

