

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16674 of 2018

Pratibha Kumari, Daughter of Om Prakash Roy, Resident of Hans Kosha, P.S. Jogbani, District-Araria.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Human Resources Development, Government of India, New Delhi.
2. The Director of Medical Education, Directorate of Health Services, Department of Health & Family Welfare, Vikash BHawan, Patna-800001.
3. The Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board through its Chairman I.A.S. Association Building, Near Patna Airport, Patna-14.
4. The Secretary, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, I.A.S. Association Building, Near Patna Airport, Patna-14,
5. The Controller of Examination, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, I.A.S. Association Building, Near Patna Airport, Patna-14,

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Jagannath Singh
For the Respondent State:		Mr. Ajay Behari Sinha- GA-8
		Mr. Suryakant Kumar, AC to GA-8
For the Respondent UoI :		Mr. S.D.Sanjay, Addl. Solicitor General
		Mrs. Punam Kumari Singh, C.G.C.
For the Respondent BCECEB :		Mr. Prasoon Sinha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

5 10-09-2018 A counter affidavit is being filed on behalf of the Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, which is being taken on record.

The petitioner has a grievance that from amongst physically challenged candidates, she was more meritorious for admission in MBBS Course against State Quota seats. However, denying her claim, candidates of same category having less merit based on NEET-2018 have been given admission.

The assertion, which has been made, is being denied



on behalf of the BCECEB mainly on two ground that the petitioner was admittedly not possessing the certificate of her disability as on the date fixed for her counseling.

I need not go into any dispute at this stage since, admittedly, the last date for admission in MBBS course, as statutorily prescribed, has expired and in view of Supreme Court's decision, this Court is not required to go into merits.

The petition stands disposed of.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Pawan/Ragini

U			
---	--	--	--

