

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.48444 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -4 Year- 2013 Thana -C.B.I CASE District- MUZAFFARPUR

=====

1. Rajeev Kumar Singh S/o Anant Singh @ Anant Kr. Singh, R/o Anugrah Nagar, P.S.- Mohiuddinagar, District- Samastipur.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, Through C. B. I., Patna.

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brij Bihari Tiwary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bipin Kumar Sinha (Sc,Cbi)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      25-08-2018                      The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with R.C Case No. 4(a) /2013 T.R. No. 27/2018, registered for offences punishable under Sections 420 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is of obtaining the job of Gramin Dak Sewak on the basis of forged certificates.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is he has falsely been implicated in this case and in fact the certificate issued by the Bihar School Examination Board is not forged rather second marksheet has been issued by the board in the first marksheet, the aggregate marks of the petitioner was shown as 553 and on the basis of which, the petitioner got the appointment on the post of Gramin Dak Sevak, however, subsequently amended



marksheet was issued, in which, the marks of the petitioner was reduced to 461 and it is not the case that petitioner has played any fraud in securing the job of Gramin Dak Sweak.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of CBI opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the petitioner secured the appointment on the basis of forged and fabricated marksheet.

Having heard both sides, considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of accusation, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner rather he should surrender before the court below and make prayer for regular bail and the court below shall dispose of the same on its own merit, if possible on same day, without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

With the above observation this application is dismissed.

**(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)**

sunil/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

