

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24795 of 2018

=====

Harilal Sadai, S/o Hirai Sadai, resident of village-Bhor, P.S.-Pandaul, District-Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resources Development, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
5. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
6. The District Education Officer, Madhubani.
7. The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Madhubani.
8. The District Programme Officer (National Secondary Education Campaign), Madhubani.
9. The District Programme Officer, Elementary Education and Sarav Shiksha Abhiyan, Madhubani.
10. The Block Education Officer, Pandaul, Madhubani.
11. The Mukhiya Village Panchayat Raj, Bhor, P.S. Mandaul, Madhubani.
12. The Panchayat Secretary, Village Panchayat Raj Bhor, P.S.-Pandaul, Madhubani.
13. The Headmaster, Government of Middle School Bhor, P.S. Pandaul, District-Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ratanakar Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Smt.Shilpa Singh -Ga12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 21-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is ward member of Ward No. 07 of Bhor Gram Panchayat in the District of Madhubani. This application has been filed for a direction to take appropriate action against respondent no. 13 for the financial irregularity, mismanagement in distribution of students' uniform, mid-day-



meal and some other irregularities not specified. Respondent no. 13 is the Headmaster of Government Middle School, Bhor, District-Madhubani.

The petitioner had earlier approached this Court by filing a writ application being C.W.J.C. No. 13118 of 2018, which was disposed of by order dated 20.08.2018. In the said application also he had made certain allegation against respondent no. 13 of similar nature.

In view of the certain observations made by this Court, the petitioner is said to have represented again on 20.09.2018 and it is his grievance that till date no action has been taken.

On close examination of the pleadings on record, it appears that the petitioner has some private grudge with respondent no. 13 and he is repeatedly approaching this Court with the same/similar allegation, this writ application lacks *bona fide*.

Learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, has drawn my attention to the office order dated 07.06.2017, issued by the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Madhubani, whereby disciplinary proceeding has proposed against one Radhey Shyam Safi, who has not been



impleaded as party respondent in the present writ application.

This writ application lacks *bona fide* and is motivated,
in my opinion, deserves to be dismissed with costs.

Accordingly, this application is dismissed with a cost
of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner in the account of
the District Magistrate, Madhubani.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Ashish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

