

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.74251 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-159 Year-2018 Thana- GOH District- Aurangabad

Ujjawal Kumar, Son of Ram Vinay Paswan, Resident of Village- Thakur
Bigha, P.S.-Banshi, District-Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Yugal Kishore, Advocate
	:	Ms. Rupa Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sri Pranav Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 13-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in
connection with Goh P.S. Case No. 159 of 2018 registered for
the offence punishable under Section 366A/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

Informant is the father of victim, who in his written
complaint has stated that on 10.07.2018 his minor daughter was
enticed by the petitioner for marriage and even after much
search when she could not be found present complaint was
lodged on 27.07.2018. The girl has been recovered and in her
statement under Section 164 of Cr.P.c. she has stated that she
had gone with the petitioner out of her own volition and sweet



will. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 06.08.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Aurangabad, in connection with Goh P.S. Case No. 159 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

