

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2714 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-407 Year-2018 Thana- BARACHATTI District- Gaya

Ranjan Kumar @ Raju Yadav, S/O Thethar Yadav alias Tetar Yadav, R/M-
Abdulpur Rafiganj, P.S. Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Excise and Prohibition
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
3. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Gaya.
4. The Incharge of Barachatti Police Station, Gaya.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Rajendra Singh, Advocate.
For the Respondents	:	Mr. Kumar Manish, S.C. 5.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 17-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

This application has been filed seeking provisional
release of the vehicle (car) bearing Registration No. JH02AV-
1788 having Chassis No. MAKDF15PHHN202018 and Engine
No. L12B35135712, seized in connection with Barachatti P.S.
Case No. 407 of 2018, dated 18.06.2018 for the offence
registered under Sections 30(a), 38 and 47 of the Bihar
Prohibition & Excise Act, 2016.

Accusation is that 77.64 Liters wine is recovered from
the Car of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no



confiscation proceeding has been initiated for the vehicle in question. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the vehicle is lying under open sky under the Police Station and if it is allowed to remain there for any longer time, the whole Car will become a junk and if release is not allowed, the State is not going to gain anyway in confiscation proceeding. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is willing to provide such surety and undertakings which may be required to protect the interest of the State during the pendency of the confiscation. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the decisions of the Supreme Court in the case of *Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat*, reported in 2002 (10) SCC283 and *General Insurance Council and Others Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh and Others*, reported in 2010(6)SCC 768 and the decision of the Hon'ble High Court, Patna, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 17900 of 2017 (D.B.) dated 10.12.2018.

In the given facts and circumstances where no confiscation proceeding has been initiated, let the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on producing the document of ownership and registration in his name before the concerned court below with two local sureties alongwith an irrevocable bank guarantee to the extent of the



value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document because the vehicle belongs to the State of Jharkhand. The petitioner while submitting the local sureties alongwith an irrevocable bank guarantee shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:-

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar activities in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the Confiscating Authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a *Panchnama* would be prepared by the court below wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future if so required it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall undertake not to challenge the said *Panchanama* in course of trial.



Subject to the above conditions, following the views expressed by Hon'ble Apex Court as well as Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court and in tune with that, I dispose of this application.

The release shall be allowed within a period of seven days from the date of submission of the local sureties alongwith an irrevocable bank guarantee and the undertakings as stated above. This would, however, be subject to initiation and finalization of the confiscation proceeding, if any.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

