

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18165 of 2018

=====

Rakesh Kumar, Son of Sanju Ram @ Sanju Prasad, Resident of Village-
Jogiya, P.S. P.O. Chandi, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Gramin Vikash Vibhag, Patna.
2. District Magistrate, Nalanda.
3. Karyakarm Padadhakari (P.O.) Chandi Block, Nalanda.
4. Rojgar Sevak, Chandi Block, Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Bansh Dubey, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar, AAG-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 17-09-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
respondent-State.

The present writ application has been filed for a
direction to the Respondent No. 2, the District Magistrate, Nalanda
for making enquiry with regard to irregularity done in allotment of
house under the Prime Minister Housing Scheme in the Village+
P.S.+Circle -Chandi, Nalanda.

The petitioner is aggrieved from the fact that the name
of the father of the petitioner appears at the BPL Sl. No.185 and he
obtained five number, but despite this fact, the Indira Aawas has
not been allotted in his favour. The father of the petitioner
transmitted a complaint before the Respondent No.2, the District
Magistrate, Nalanda, through registered post, as contained in



Annexure-2. Subsequently, the petitioner made a complaint before the District Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Nalanda, under the Bihar Right to Public Grievance Redressal Act, 2015, as contained in Annexure-3 and thereafter he preferred an appeal before the First Appellate Authority -cum-Divisional Commissioner, Patna, as contained in Annexure-4.

In the circumstances, the petitioner prays for disposal of the writ application with a direction to the Respondent No.2, the District Magistrate, Nalanda to dispose of the application of the father of the petitioner, as contained in Annexure-2.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the State that he is not having instruction whether representation of the father of the petitioner has been disposed of or not, but he further states that if the representation of the father of the petitioner has not been disposed of till date, it will be disposed of within a reasonable time frame.

Though the right of the father of the petitioner has been affected but the present writ application has not been filed by him, but in view of the confined prayer only to the extent of disposal of the representation pending before respondent authority in the interest of justice, it is expected from the Respondent No.2, the District Magistrate, Nalanda to dispose of the representation of the father of the petitioner, as contained in Annexure-2, if it has not



been disposed of till date, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Ashwini/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

