

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.996 of 2018
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11894 of 2018

=====

M/s Rastriya Advertising Agency, Represented By Arvind Kumar S/o late Ram Narayan Prasad R/o Church Road Christian Quarter, P.S. Bettiah, District- West Champaran Presently at Sai Carnation Apartment, Kankarbagh Main Road, Bahadurpur Gumti, near Indian Petrol Pump, Patna, P.S. Kankarbagh, Distt. Patna,

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The State Drug Controller-Cum- Chief Licensing Authority, New Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Assistant Drug Controller, Drug Control Administration Patna, 4th Floor, N.M.C.H. Campus Kankarbagh, Patna-20.

.....Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Ram Shankar Das, Advocate

For the Respondent/s: Mr. S.D. Yadav- AAG 9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 14-08-2018

Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied with the impugned order passed by a learned Single Judge by which he has dismissed the writ petition on the ground that against the order impugned in the petition, the petitioner has already preferred an appeal before the appropriate authority, the original petitioner has preferred the present Letters Patent Appeal.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf the appellant is not disputing that against the order impugned in the petition, the appellant himself has preferred an appeal before the appropriate authority.



Under the circumstances, there cannot be any two parallel proceedings one before the appellate authority and another before this Court challenging the appellate order under which the learned Single Judge has rightly dismissed the petition.

At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant has made a grievance that appeal could not be heard at the earliest.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent authorities has stated at the bar that the appeal preferred by the appellant shall be decided/disposed of within a period of six weeks from today.

The concerned respondents are directed to act accordingly.

With this observation, this Letters Patent Appeal stands dismissed.

(Mukesh R. Shah, CJ)

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

Vikash/-
V.K. Pandey/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	16.08.2018
Transmission Date	NA

