

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3865 of 2018

- =====
1. Uma Rai, Son of Sri Chandrika Rai, Resident of Mohalla- Trimurti Nagar, Sultanpur, Ward No. 20, Taluk- Dinapur-cum-Khagaul, P.S.- Danapur, Distt.- Patna.
 2. Sudhir Giri, Son of Late Baleshwar Giri, resident of Vill.- Kalyanpur, P.O.- Kalyanpur P.S.- Bibhutipur, Distt.- Samastipur.
 3. Mahesh Kumar Chandravanshi, Son of Ram Bharosh Ram, resident of House No. 73/60, Mohalla- Tekari Road, P.O.- Delha, Circle- Vijay Bigha, Distt.- Gaya.
 4. Nageshwar Yadav, Son of Yadu Yadav, Resident of Ward No. 36, Mohalla- Moffarganj, Gareri Tola, P.S.- Katihar Town, District- Katihar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Food Corporation of India through its Managing Director, 16-20, Barakhamba Lane, New Delhi- 110001.
2. The Executive Director(I.R.-L), Food Corporation of India, 16-20, Barakhamba Lane, New Delhi- 110001.
3. The Chief General Manager (I.R.-L) Food Corporation of India, 16-20, Barakhamba Lane, New Delhi- 110001.
4. The General Manager (I.R.-L) Food Corporation of India, 16-20, Barakhamba Lane, New Delhi- 110001..
5. The General Manager (Region), Bihar Region, Food Corporation of India, Arunachal Building, Exhibition Road, Patna- 800001.
6. The Deputy General Manager (IRL/Procurement/storage/SL/TL), Bihar Region, Food Corporation of India, Arunachal Building, Exhibition Road, Patna- 800001.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Tiwary, Advocate
Mr. Akshansh Ankit, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhakar Tekriwal, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the
facts of the present case are identical to the case of C.W.J.C.
No. 1330 of 2018 (Bhim Paswan & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar



& Ors.), the aforesaid order squarely covers the issue involved in the present case.

In such view of the matter, the concerned authority is directed to examine the facts, law and prayer of the case of the petitioners and if it is found that present case is squarely covered by the aforesaid case, the same benefit should also be extended to the petitioners.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/Sunny

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	11.10.2018
Transmission Date	NA

