IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.9120 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -276 Year- 2017 Thana -CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- SARAN

==========

1. GAYATRI DEVI @ GYANTI DEVI Wife of Late Harihar Rai, Resident of Village-Shivnagri, P.S.-Muffasil, District-Saran at Chapra.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Udai Shankar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Yogendra Kr. Singh(App)

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 23-03-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the State.

The petitioner apprehends her arrest in connection with Chapra Muffasil P.S. Case No. 276/2017 instituted for the offences under Sections 366(A) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is mother of the co-accused Shailendra Rai. There is allegation in the written report that the minor daughter of the informant was taken away on bike by aforesaid Shailendra Rai and Uday Kumar Singh.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with Chapra Muffasil P.S. Case No.

WEB COPY

276/2017, she shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran at Chapra, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if the petitioner tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J.)

Rakhi

U		T	

WEB COPY