

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.45607 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-291 Year-2017 Thana- BIHARSHARIF District- Nalanda

Satyam Kumar Son of Vijay Kumar, resident of Village- Parwati, P.S.-
Kashichak, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Pradeep Narain Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in Bihar P.S. case no. 291
of 2017 instituted for the offence under Section(s) 323, 341,
504, 307, and 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27
of Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
petitioner is not named in the written report. Police after
investigation found the case of the petitioner false and
submitted final form against this petitioner. But cognizance has
been taken against the petitioner. This fact finds mention in the
impugned order also.

In the written report, there is no allegation of overt act
against this petitioner.



In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Bihar P.S. case no. 291 of 2017 , he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the CJM, Nalanda at Biharsharif subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions:(1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

shyambihari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

